a lien filed with the appeals board or as a self-procured medical expense, or for periods of temporary disability resulting from the surgery, if the disputed surgical procedure is performed prior to the completion of the second opinion process required by this subdivision.

- (c) The second opinion physician shall not have any material professional, familial, or financial affiliation, as determined by the administrative director, with any of the following:
- (1) The employer, his or her workers' compensation insurer, thirdparty claims administrator, or other entity contracted to provide utilization review services pursuant to Section 4610.
- -(2) Any officer, director, or employee of the employer's health care provider, workers' compensation insurer, or third party claims administrator.
- (3) A physician, the physician's medical group, or the independent practice association involved in the health care service in dispute.
- (4) The facility or institution at which either the proposed health care service, or the alternative service, if any, recommended by the employer's health care provider, workers' compensation insurer, or third party claims administrator, would be provided.
- (5) The development or manufacture of the principal drug, device, procedure, or other therapy proposed by the employee or his or her treating physician whose treatment is under review, or the alternative therapy, if any, recommended by the employer or other entity.
- (6) The employee or the employee's immediate family.
- (d) If the employee is not represented by an attorney, the employer shall not seek agreement with the employee on a physician to prepare the comprehensive medical evaluation. Except in cases where the treating physician's recommendation that spinal surgery be performed pursuant to subdivision (b), the employer shall immediately provide the employee with a form prescribed by the medical director with which to request assignment of a panel of three qualified medical evaluators. The employee shall select a physician from the panel to prepare a comprehensive medical evaluation. For injuries occurring on or after January 1, 2003, except as provided in subdivision (b) of Section 4064, the evaluation of the qualified medical evaluator selected from a panel of three and the reports of the treating physician or physicians shall be the only admissible reports and shall be the only reports obtained by the employee or employer on issues subject to this section in a case involving an unrepresented employee.
- (e) Upon completing a determination of the disputed medical issue, the physician selected under subdivision (a) or (d) to perform the medical evaluation shall summarize the medical findings on a form prescribed by the administrative director and shall serve the formal medical evaluation and the summary form on the employee and the employer. The medical evaluation shall address all contested medical issues arising from all injuries reported on one or more claim forms prior to the date of the employee's initial appointment with the medical evaluator. If, after a medical evaluation is prepared, the employer or the employee subsequently objects to any new medical issue, the parties, to the extent possible, shall utilize the same medical evaluator who prepared the previous evaluation to resolve the medical dispute.
- (f) No disputed medical issue specified in subdivision (a) may be the subject of a declaration of readiness to proceed unless there has

first been an evaluation by the treating physician or an agreed or qualified medical evaluator.

- —(g) With the exception of a report or reports prepared by the treating physician or physicians, no report determining disputed medical issues set forth in subdivision (a) shall be obtained prior to the expiration of the period to reach agreement on the selection of an agreed medical evaluator under subdivision (a). Reports obtained in violation of this prohibition shall not be admissible in any proceeding before the appeals board. However, the testimony, records, and reports offered by the treating physician or physicians who treated the employee for the injury shall be admissible.
- (h) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2007, and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2007, deletes or extends that date. Section 4062.01 is added to the Labor Code, to read:

SEC. 15. Section 4062 of the Labor Code is added:

- 4062. (a) If either the employee or employer objects to a determination made by the treating physician concerning the employee's preclusion or likely preclusion to engage in his or her usual occupation, or the existence of new and further disability, the objecting party shall notify the other party in writing of the objection within 20 days of receipt of the report if the employee is represented by an attorney or within 30 days of receipt of the report if the employee is not represented by an attorney. These time limits may be extended for good cause or by mutual agreement. If the employee is represented by an attorney, the parties shall seek agreement with the other party on a physician, who need not be a qualified medical evaluator, to prepare a report resolving the disputed issue. If no agreement is reached within 10 days, or any additional time not to exceed 20 days agreed upon by the parties, the parties may not later select an agreed medical evaluator. Evaluations obtained prior to the period to reach agreement shall not be admissible in any proceeding before the appeals board. After the period to reach agreement has expired, the objecting party may select a qualified medical evaluator to conduct the comprehensive medical evaluation. Neither party may obtain more than one comprehensive medical-legal report, provided, however, that any party may obtain additional reports at their own expense. The nonobjecting party may continue to rely on the treating physician's report or may select a qualified medical evaluator to conduct an additional evaluation.
- (b) (1) If the employee is not represented by an attorney, the employer shall not seek agreement with the employee on a physician to prepare the comprehensive medical evaluation. The employer shall immediately request assignment of a panel of three qualified medical evaluators from the medical director and notify the employee of the request on a form prescribed by the administrative director. A copy of the treating physician's evaluation shall be included with the request. Within 30 days of receipt of notice of the assignment of the panel from the medical director, the employee shall select a physician from the panel to prepare a comprehensive medical evaluation. If no good cause exists, as defined in subdivision (g) of Section 4061, for the failure of the employee to select a qualified medical evaluator within the 30-day time period set forth in this subdivision, or, if no assignment of a panel has been made by the medical director within